



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,637	02/28/2002	Donald J. McMichael	KCX-518A (17507A)	5368
7:	590 12/17/2003		EXAMINER	
STEPHEN E. BONDURA, ESQ.			BUI, LUAN KIM	
DORITY & MA	•			
P.O. BOX 1449			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GREENVILLE	, SC 29602-1449		3728	6

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			•		τ				
		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/08	5,637	MCMICHAEL ET AL.					
		Exam	iner	Art Unit					
		Luan I		3728					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears or	the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	dress				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF THIS COMMUNION IN THIS PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNION IN THIS PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNION IN THIS PROPERTY OF THIS PROPERTY.	IICATION. Is of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In r Imunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply a ly will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may e statutory minimum of the nd will expire SIX (6) MG e application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely DNTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on							
2a)	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action i	s non-final.						
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-23,25 and 26 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 24 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
10)	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected	e: a) accepted of accepted of accepted of accepted of accepted of accepted of accepted on the accepted of accepted	(s) be held in abey quired if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CF					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
a)(13)	Acknowledgment is made of a clair All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office activation of a claim of the specific reference was included to the translation of the foreign lates the complete of the translation of the foreign lates the complete of the specific reference was included in the first section.	y documents have y documents have s of the priority document on all Bureau (PCT on for a list of the company of the first senter anguage provisional for domestic priority	been received. been received in uments have bee Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies no ty under 35 U.S.Cence of the specified application has ty under 35 U.S.Cence 35 U.S.Cence of the specified application has	Application No on received in this National solution received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ication or in an Application been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	application) Data Sheet. a specific				
Attachmen									
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s f Informal Patent Application (PTO					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Application/Control Number: 10/085,637 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 15-21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rudnick et al. (6,039,183; hereinafter Rudnick'183). Rudnick'183 discloses a package assembly/kit (20) comprising a cover (26) for a tray (22) having a plurality of recesses disposed therein, a container (24) including a base member (44) and a reclosable lid (46) hingedly connected to the base member and the container is adapted to fit at least partially within the tray. The recesses of the tray of Rudnick'183 are inherently capable of holding articles useful in performing a PEG procedure and the container capable of storing accessory items useful in performing the PEG procedure. Rudnick'183 further discloses the container comprising a securing device includes a male boss member (49) and a female recess (49).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-23 and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ross et al. (5,318,543; hereinafter Ross'543) in view of Rudnick et al. (6,039,183; hereinafter

Art Unit: 3728

Rudnick'183). Ross'543 discloses a kit (10) for holding surgical articles comprising a tray (13) having a plurality of planar surfaces with a plurality of recesses for holding surgical articles, a removable container (20) containing surgical articles (18, 19) disposed within at least one of the planar surfaces/container recess and a cover (11). Ross'543 discloses the container (20) must be removed from the tray prior to access to the articles (17, 27). Ross'543 also discloses the other claimed limitations except for the container being a substantially rigid container having a base member and a lid hingedly connected to the base member. Rudnick'183 teaches a package assembly/kit (20) comprising a cover (26) for a tray (22) having a plurality of recesses disposed therein, a container (24) including a base member (44) and a reclosable lid (46) hingedly connected to the base member and the container is adapted to fit at least partially within the tray. Rudnick'183 further teaches the container comprising a securing device includes a male boss member (49) and a female recess (49). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in view of Rudnick'183 to modify the container of Ross'543 so the container comprises a substantially rigid container having a base member and a lid hingedly connected to the base member to facilitate opening and/or closing the container.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground

Application/Control Number: 10/085,637 Page 4

Art Unit: 3728

provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-23 and 25-26 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of copending Application No. 10/085,417 and over claims 1-21 of copending Application No. 10/085,630 and over claims 1-21 of copending Application No. 10/085,639. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the structural limitations in the claims of the instant patent application are fully disclosed by the copending applications.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 24 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3728

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is (703) 305-5861. If in receiving this Office Action, it is apparent to Applicant that certain documents are missing from the record for example copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to TC 3700 Customer Service at (703) 306-5648.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 872-9301. Facsimile correspondence for this application should be sent to (703) 872-9306 for Formal papers and After Final communications.

lkb

December 11, 2003

Luan K. Bui

Primary Examiner